UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Eastern District of Michigan

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	AHMAD KHILIA DANIELS	Case Number: 06-30173-02
	Defendant	
	ordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U. of the defendant pending trial in this case	S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the ex.
		Part I—Findings of Fact
	r local offense that would have been a fe a crime of violence as defined in 18 U an offense for which the maximum se	
	_ *	defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.
	§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable s	
(3) A		committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense. elapsed since the date of conviction release of the defendant from imprisonment
(4) F	findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a	rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the amunity. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
		Alternative Findings (A)
(1) T	here is probable cause to believe that the	
		onment of ten years or more is prescribed in
	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	uption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure
	ne appearance of the defendant as require	
	1	Alternative Findings (B)
¬ (1) Т	here is a serious risk that the defendant	9
		will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
	Dont II	
I C J		
	that the credible testimony and information the evidence that	ion submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence a prepon-
guilty to f prison or police off during a	felony assault with intent to commit man March 3, 2001 and was discharged ficer and was sentenced to 8 days co	employed male with weak family and community ties. On 10/20/90, defendant plead nurder and was sentenced to a prison term of 12-20 years. He was released from from parole on March 3, 2003. On 1/7/90 he plead guilty to resisting and obstructing a onfinement. Recently defendant has had multiple (6) addresses, some overlapping unemployed since his discharge of parole on 3/3/03. On 4/3/06 defendant was charged
		art III—Directions Regarding Detention
o the extereasonable Governme	nt practicable, from persons awaiting or opportunity for private consultation wi	e Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, it serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a thindefense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the safacility shall deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for the purpose of an appearance
	April 12, 2006	s/ Mona K. Majzoub

Date

Signature of Judge

MONA K. MAJZOUB UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Name and Title of Judge

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

06-30173-02 USA V AHMAD KHILIA DANIELS PAGE 2

21 U.S.C.(a)(1), 18 U.S.C. 922(G) and 18 U.S.C. 924 (C) Distribution of Cocaine Base, Possession of Heroin with Intent to Distribute, Felon in Possession of a Firearm and Possession of a Firearm During a Drug Trafficking Crime. Testimony was received that defendant Daniels was an occupant of the residence on Center Street (where co-defendant Wardlow was a resident). Documents of Daniels' were found in an upstairs bedroom. Daniels was present in the house at the time of the 3/24/06 search, which disclosed secreted drugs (7 ½ oz crack cocaine, 45 thimbles of heroin) and weapons (a Rossi .38 caliber handgun with an obliterated serial number, and Intertec 9 mm handgun, and a Saiga 410 shotgun) along with an electronic scale covered with field tested cocaine base, plastic baggies, paperwork describing Daniels as an ordained minister, and ammo, among other things.

Testimony was received that Daniels was involved in the crack cocaine sale to the CI on 3/16/06, and in other drug transaction communications with the CI. The seriousness of these charges renders the defendant both a risk of flight and a danger to the community; thus detention is ordered to secure his appearance and the safety of the community.